



DOCKET NO. 206033US0

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

KLAUS-PETER JONDERKO, ET AL. : EXAMINER: SERGENT, R. A.

SERIAL NO: 09/963,423 :

FILED: SEPTEMBER 27, 2001 : GROUP ART UNIT: 1711

FOR: PULVERULENT, WATER-
DISPERSIBLE, BLOCKED
POLYISOCYANATE ADDUCTS, A
PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION AND
THEIR USE

REPLY BRIEF

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

This is a Reply Brief in reply to the Examiner's Answer dated June 3, 2004 (Answer).

Appellants adopt the issue headings used in the Appeal Brief.

Issue (A)

The Answer is essentially a verbatim reproduction of the statement of the rejection in the Final Rejection combined with the continuation sheet for paragraph 5 of the Advisory Action. The Examiner has not responded to Appellants' response thereto in the Appeal Brief. Thus, there is nothing to reply to in this Reply Brief.

Issue (B)

Appellants rely on their arguments therefor in the Appeal Brief.

Issue (C)


The Answer is essentially all legal conclusion without any factual underpinnings. For example, the Examiner finds that one of ordinary skill in the art could not practice the invention as claimed without resort to undue experimentation (Answer at 3), but does not explain why. Indeed, the Examiner does not explain why the present disclosure would not enable a person skilled in the art to make the claimed solid, pulverulent, water-dispersible, blocked polyisocyanate adduct which did not conform to the strict percentages ranges disclosed in paragraphs 0007-0010 and 0031-0033 of the specification.

CONCLUSION

Appellants continue to maintain that all of the above-discussed rejections should be REVERSED.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman E. Oblon



Harris A. Pitlick
Registration No. 38,779

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/03)
NFO/HAP/cja